

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES REPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Paten) and Trademark Office Address: COMM SSIGNER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1950 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/605,951	11/10/2003	Wei-Hung Huang	MTKP0092USA	2950
27765	7590 02/22/2006		EXAMINER	
NORTH AMERICA INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY CORPORATION			MOLL, JESSE R	
	P.O. BOX 506 MERRIFIELD, VA 22116		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2181	
			DATE MAILED: 02/22/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Ammliantian Na	A = -lio = -4/a)				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summans	10/605,951	HUANG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jesse R. Moll	2181				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to rapiy within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 No.	ovember 2003.					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	·.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>10 November 2003</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	a.				
Attachmant/c)						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 26 November 2003.	5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-11 have been examined.

Acknowledgment of papers filed: oath, specification, drawings on November 10, 2003, and IDS on November 26, 2003. The papers filed have been placed on record.

### Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: 66 (Loop Count Register; see paragraph 29 of the specification). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 2181

## Claim Objections

- 2. Claims 3 and 4 recite the limitation "the third memory". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. For the purpose of examination, the examiner assumes "the third memory" read "the first memory" as recited in claim 2.
- 3. Claim 10 recites the limitation "the first memory". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. For the purpose of examination, the examiner assumes "the first memory" read "the third memory" as recited in claim 9.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Catherwood et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,976,158 B2).

Application/Control Number: 10/605,951

Art Unit: 2181

6. Regarding claim 1, Catherwood et al. discloses a microcomputer apparatus comprising: a processing unit (execution units 115; see fig. 1) for executing instructions; and a loop counter (repeat count register; see col. 2, lines 64-66) coupled to the processing unit (all components in a processor are coupled) for receiving and storing a loop count value (see col. 8, lines 59-60) according to a loop instruction (see col. 8, lines 60-62) executed by the processing unit (instruction are all executed by the execution unit); wherein the processing unit decrements the loop count value stored in the loop counter (see col. 9, lines 1-2) each time an instruction is looped (see col. 9, lines 8-11), and when the processing unit encounters a loop instruction (step 400; see fig. 4; col. 8, lines 53-56), the processing unit will loop the instruction previous to the loop instruction (step 405; see fig. 4; col. 8, lines 56-57; col. 6, lines 65-67) a number of times as defined by the loop count value (see col. 2, lines 21-25).

Page 4

7. Regarding claim 2, Catherwood et al. discloses the microcomputer apparatus in claim 1 further comprising: a first memory (program memory 105, see fig. 1; col. 4, lines 25-26) coupled to the processing unit (see col. 4, lines 44-46) for storing a program (see col. 4, lines 25-26) comprising a table (REPEAT instructions)

Note that the definition of table according to The American Heritage® Dictionary of the English Language, Fourth Edition is "An orderly arrangement of data, especially one in which the data are arranged in columns and rows in an essentially rectangular form." Using this definition, a list of instructions in program memory can be considered a table.

Art Unit: 2181

Containing the addresses of a plurality of loop count values (see col. 7, lines 51-54).

- 8. Regarding claim 3, Catherwood et al. discloses the microcomputer apparatus in claim 2 wherein the third memory is a ROM (Read Only Memory) memory (see col. 4, lines 27-28).
- 9. Regarding claim 4, Catherwood et al. discloses the microcomputer apparatus in claim 2 further comprising: a program counter coupled to the processing unit (see col. 4, lines 25-26) for addressing the third memory (see col. 4, lines 57-59).
- 10. Regarding claim 5, Catherwood et al. discloses the microcomputer apparatus in claim 1 wherein the processing unit comprises: an instruction decoding means (instruction fetch/decode unit 110 & loop control 135; see fig. 1; col. 4, lines 19-22) for decoding and dispatching instructions for execution (see col. 4, lines 51-53 regarding sending instructions to execution units) and for checking a loop count value (see col. 9, lines 2 -3 regarding checking whether the loop value is less than zero) stored in the loop counter (see col. 8, lines 59-60); and an execution unit (execution units 115; see fig. 1; col. 4, lines 20-21) for executing the dispatched instructions (see col. 9, lines 8-10) and decrementing a loop count value stored in the loop counter (see col. 9, lines 1-2).

Art Unit: 2181

11. Regarding claim 6, Catherwood et al. discloses the microcomputer apparatus in claim 1 wherein the loop counter comprises: a first multiplexer for selecting an address of a loop count value (see col. 8, lines 63-65 regarding specifying the address of the register that includes the loop count value),

Note that if there are many possible sources (any register) of the register, there must be a device to choose which value is used. The device used to address the register file is considered to be the first multiplexer. Further note that the definition of multiplexer according to the WordNet ® 2.0, © 2003 Princeton University is "a device that can interleave two or more activities".

A second multiplexer for determining whether a loop count value is being sent from the processing unit (step 425; see col. 9, lines 1-2 regarding storing the decremented value into the repeat count register)

Note that something must decrement the loop value. This item is considered to be part of the processing unit.

Or from the address of a loop count value (step 410, see col. 8, lines 59-60 regarding loading the repeat count register with a loop value);

Note that there are two different values that can be loaded into the count register (the value currently in the register - 1 and the new value). Using the same definition of multiplexer as used above, there must be a multiplexer to choose which value to use.

And a fourth memory (rount register 340, see fig. 3) for storing a loop count value (see col. 7, lines 47-48) and issuing the current state of the loop count value to the processing unit (the data must be sent to a subtracter in order to decrement the

Art Unit: 2181

value); wherein the processing unit will decrement the loop count value (see col. 9, lines 1-2) each time an instruction has been looped and will continue looping the instruction until the loop count value has reached 0 (col. 9, lines 2-3 & 6-11).

- 12. Regarding claim 7, Catherwood et al. discloses the microcomputer apparatus in claim 6 wherein the fourth memory is a loop count register (recount register 340 is a register which is used to store a loop count).
- 13. Regarding claim 8, Catherwood et al. discloses the microcomputer apparatus in claim 1 wherein the computer apparatus further comprises a storage unit (memories 145, 105, W REGISTERS, and 120) coupled to the processing unit and the loop counter (all memories are coupled to all parts of processor 100, see fig. 1).
- 14. Regarding claim 9, Catherwood et al. discloses the microcomputer apparatus in claim 8 wherein the storage unit further comprises: a second memory (program memory 105; see fig. 1) coupled to the processing unit and the loop counter (see col. 4, lines 44-50) for storing a table containing the addresses of a plurality of loop count values (see above regarding claim 2); and a third memory (repeat value registers 335 and similar registers; see fig. 3) coupled to the loop counter (see col. 7, lines 51-54) for storing a plurality of loop count values (since they are addressable, multiple registers can store values to be loaded into the RCOUNT register 340).

Art Unit: 2181

15. Regarding claim 10, Catherwood et al. discloses the microcomputer apparatus in claim 9 wherein the first memory is a set of registers (any memory in processor 100 is inherently a set of registers).

Note that the definition of the word register according to The American Heritage®

Dictionary of the English Language, Fourth Edition is "A part of the central processing

unit used as a storage location." According to this definition, any memory in a

processor can be considered to be a register.

16. Regarding claim 11, Catherwood et al. discloses the microcomputer apparatus in claim 9 wherein the second memory is a RAM (Random Access Memory) memory (see col. 4, line 35).

#### Conclusion

- 17. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Masse discloses the use of a repeat instruction to repeat instructions.
- 18. The following is text cited from 37 CFR 1.11(c): In amending in reply to a rejection of claims in an application or patent under reexamination, the applicant or patent owner must clearly point out the patentable novelty which he or she thinks the claims present in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited or the

Art Unit: 2181

objections made. The applicant or patent owner must also show how the amendments avoid such references or objections.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jesse R. Moll whose telephone number is (571)272-2703. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 am - 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Huynh can be reached on (571)272-4147. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JM 2/15/06

HENRY W. H. TSAI PRIMARY EXAMINER